

ENCLOSURE 6 - SCHEDULE OF CONSULTATION RESPONSES

	Object/ Support/ Neutral	Comments	BBNPA Response	Recommendation
C J Lee	Object	I have received your letter of 29th November. I live near the top//northern end of Rectory Road. The house was only built in 1976. I find it strange that mine, along with several others, above and below of not great age compared to those in the centre of Crickhowell, should be considered to be of heritage value. I would question why there needs to be any change to the existing situation which I assume has been in operation for some time.	The inclusion of the houses on the southeast side of Rectory Road within the conservation area along with the properties on the west side is proposed for a number of reasons. The majority of the houses on the southeast side Rectory Road appear on historic mapping from the late 19 th century, and although unlisted, they have some local historic interest. The deep set back of the houses from Rectory Road behind their mature front gardens, together with the stone boundary walls adjacent to the road makes an attractive ensemble. It is agreed that the two houses at the northern end are much later but they are sited in a similar way and the gardens, trees and boundaries form an attractive foreground. Overall this is a cohesive line of houses which have a unity in their siting and landscaping to the front. Not all buildings in conservation areas are historic and there will be some, such as those referred to in the appraisal that have a negative impact. Others have a neutral impact. Generally a conservation area boundary would not be extended to include a negative building but it is legitimate to include a building assessed as not harmful if there are other factors such as those referred to above.	No change
The Crickhowell and District Civic Society	Neutral	The attached drafts were briefly discussed last night at our Dec meeting of The Crickhowell and District Civic Society. There will be significance Civic presence at the Consultation in Jan 2020.	The issue of on-street parking was raised in the Crickhowell Community Plan which is one of the documents used to help inform this review of the conservation area. On-street parking was also observed by the Senior Heritage Officer during the	Amend chapter on Management Plan Recommendations to acknowledge the work carried out by

		<p>As a background we've been doing a lot of work on "parking" in and around Crickhowell. We're therefore very interested in a more comprehensive explanation of the section Parking:</p> <p>On-street parking is identified as a negative issue in terms of the historic townscape and has also been identified as problem in the Crickhowell Community Plan. Support will be given to an audit of the current situation so that a fuller understanding can feed into developing appropriate solutions to benefit the local community, visitors and the historic environment. CTC; PCC</p> <p>Who specifically can we contact for a discussion and data sharing on the analysis we, The Civic Society have already carried out?</p>	<p>numerous visits to Crickhowell for the purpose of preparing the conservation area appraisal. This is why the issue is identified in Section 14, Management Plan Recommendations. It is recommended that the section on parking in the Management Plan Recommendations will be amended to include The Crickhowell and District Civic Society in the 'Lead Bodies' columns.</p>	<p>the Crickhowell and District Civic Society.</p>
Cllr J Charlton	Support	<p>Thank you for the opportunity comment on the above changes.</p> <p>I have no concerns and welcome these changes.</p>	<p>Support for changes noted</p>	<p>No change</p>
R & E Morris	Support	<p>Thank you for the letter of 29th November from Ms Janet Poole, the Senior Heritage Officer. We have looked at the conservation area appraisal document, and its proposals for modifying the boundaries on the Crickhowell side of the Usk. It does seem sensible for the two parts of the present area to be separately designated, and this will make any further reviews and modifications easier in due course. We welcome the inclusion of this property, and the terrace of which no. 31 is part, in the newly defined conservation boundaries. Indeed, we consider that it would be advantageous also to include both the</p>	<p>Support for the division of the conservation area and the amended boundary in Crickhowell is noted.</p> <p>The recreation ground beyond Rectory Road has been assessed. While it is valuable recreation space it does not contribute to the quality of the conservation area in the way that the Castle grounds or the Bullpit Meadow do. In terms of the protection of his open space the adopted Brecon Beacons National Park Authority Local Development Plan identifies it as 'Existing Community Use'. As such it covered by Policy 50 Retention of Existing Community Facilities.</p>	

		<p>recreation ground to the rear of the Llanbedr Road houses, as well as the grass bank amenity strip in front of them.</p> <p>Both these are integral to the character of the Llanbedr Road terrace, which we understand to have been built in, or maybe before, the 1890s. The recreation ground, and its adjoining play area are much enjoyed, and should be protected from any speculative development - just as the recreation area next to Alisby's Castle has already long been included for no doubt similar reasons. The grass bank opposite to this house - down the centre of the total width of Llanbedr Road - is a unique feature in the town. It requires constant protection from the unlawful parking, tree damage and the like, and is a key feature in the attractive street scene. Slight extension of the boundaries to include these two areas will include no further properties, but will strengthen the tree preservation powers in particular in both cases.</p> <p>Other than these points, we have few further significant observations on the Crickhowell proposals, except to say that there seems little point in excluding the two small areas that have long been designated already at Castle Road and Orchard Avenue. We also welcome the inclusion of the riverbank path area upstream from and beyond Bullpit Meadows; this is very important for bird life in particular, in addition to its landscape and amenity value.</p>	<p>The grass bank on Llanbedr Road is related to the 20th century development on the east side of Llanbedr Rd but it does also provide a setting for the 19th century terrace on the west side. Conservation Areas are now reviewed on a 5 year cycle and it is recommended that the grass bank is looked at for possible inclusion within the conservation area as part of the next review. If there is an issue of unlawful parking and damage to trees as highlighted in the consultation comment, this is something that is the responsibility of the landowner to address.</p> <p>The use of tree preservation orders are not just confined to conservation areas. The Management Plan Recommendations refers to a review to consider whether additional TPOs are required within the conservation area. It is recommended that a review includes the consideration of trees within the setting of the conservation area.</p> <p>Further review of Orchard Lane has been carried out as a result of this consultation and it is concluded that the existing boundary should remain. Important views of St Edmunds Church are obtained from this part of Orchard Lane and the area is relevant to the church's setting.</p> <p>When the conservation area was first designated, Glanyrafon, an early 19th century, small country house was still present at the easternmost end of Castle Road. By the 1980s modern social housing had been built in its grounds to the east and northwest. Glanyrafon was divided into flats at that time. In 1989 an application to demolish Glanyrafon</p>	<p>Amend Management Plan Recommendations</p>
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>was granted conservation area consent. In 1993 a planning application to demolish Glanyrafon and replace it with 10 dwellings was granted permission. It is likely that this area was included within the conservation area when first designated because of historic house. Unfortunately this part of the conservation area changed irrevocably prior to the formation of the National Park Authority. Other than the high, former garden wall once associated with the demolished Glanyrafon, the estate does not contribute to the quality of the conservation area. The proposal to remove the housing estate from the conservation area is therefore considered to be an appropriate course of action.</p> <p>Support for the inclusion of additional land at Bullpit Meadows is noted.</p>	
<p>P Roberts & C Heath</p> <p>On behalf of: Mr & Mrs R Franklin; J Mould; V Jones; M White D Roberts A Sheldon P Ashby C Goodwin Mr & Mrs A Jones R Pinkerton C Hill H James</p>	Support	<p>I write on behalf of the residents of Bridge Street in Crickhowell, names and addresses of whom are listed at the bottom of this letter (17 households in total).</p> <p>The draft consultation document makes good reading, and we note with approval that there is much comment about the special character of Crickhowell, and specific comments about the special character of Bridge Street.</p> <p>There are some specific points that we would like to be altered:-</p> <p>The report says that the traffic in New Road spoils the character, but it should say the same for Bridge Street. It also says that Bridge Street is one-way, but it fails to say that it is designated as "Access Only".</p> <p>The report talks about walkers and pedestrians in general terms, and makes much of</p>	<p>It is acknowledged that through traffic compromises the character of Bridge Street, residential amenity and potentially conflicts with pedestrian movement. The character area chapter covering Bridge Street will be amended to reflect this. The Chapters on Routes and Movement, Management Plan Recommendations and sections that refer to damage to historic street surfaces will also be amended.</p> <p>It is agreed that road markings, structures to manage traffic and road signage detracts from the town's character in a number of locations. This has been mentioned as an issue in areas other than by the fountain. The points made about this issue can be highlighted more strongly in the Routes and Movement chapter and in the Management Plan recommendations.</p>	<p>Amend the following chapters: Bridge Street and Lamb Lane character area; Routes and Movement; Management Plan recommendations</p>

<p>Mr & Mrs A Coggins M Biss S Marr- Johnson Mr & Mrs G Jarman K King S Cartledge M Darknell</p>		<p>Crickhowell Bridge as a feature, but should also say that many locals and visitors walk down Bridge Street to see it, and to visit Bullpit Meadow. The pavements are uneven and narrow, non-existent in places, so there is a conflict between pedestrians desiring quiet enjoyment of the oldest street in Crickhowell, and the impact of traffic using the street, much of the time in contravention of the "Access Only" restriction.</p> <p>The report makes comment about the problem of noise and pollution - a particular problem for Bridge Street with its "strong sense of enclosure". Vehicle noise resounds around the street, and window ledges are often black with dust and grime from the traffic, both of which detract from the desired tranquil space.</p> <p>The report mentions street furniture and markings as detrimental to the fountain in the High Street, but this issue is more widespread, and the proliferation of road signs is a detraction in many places, including Crickhowell Bridge. Also, wide yellow road markings are used throughout most of the town, when they could be narrower ones as used in Bridge Street.</p> <p>We would like to see more emphasis on these issues in the report.</p> <p>We proposed the following measures which, either separately or in combination, could "maintain or improve the positive character, local distinctiveness and sense of place of Crickhowell and Llangattock."</p>	<p>It is Powys County Council rather than the Brecon Beacons National Park Authority (BBNPA) who are responsible for the highways and traffic management. The final version of the conservation area appraisal will be sent to them. The BBNPA will investigate opportunities to work with Powys County Council, regarding repairs to historic pavement surfaces, signage, and the potential to introduce traffic calming measures that integrate sympathetically into the historic environment.</p>	<p>Amend Management Plan recommendations</p>
--	--	--	--	--

	<p>BBNPA to provide resources to police and reduce the illegal use of Bridge Street as a through road.</p> <p>Road signs on the bridge could be reduced and improved to make it clear that only traffic stopping in Bridge Street should use the road. Such signs should be placed so that they are clearly seen before the junction - at present drivers are almost committed to turning right before the signs are clearly visible. Perhaps this means a more detailed sign at the traffic lights on either side of the bridge?</p> <p>Bridge Street (and other parts of Crickhowell?) could be made pedestrian priority areas. Signs should clearly show that there are pedestrians using the road (there is one such sign in Castle Road). There have been accidents where pedestrians have been hit by vehicles, thankfully no fatalities yet, but is only a matter of time.</p> <p>The entrance to Bridge Street could be clearly marked by a strip of cobbles or similar, and the corners of the entrances slightly narrowed so that drivers have to slow down significantly in order to make the turn.</p> <p>The same could be done at the top of Bridge Street. It is a frequent occurrence that cars drive down the wrong way, so a similar strip of cobbles, and improved signage, would make it less easy to make this mistake.</p> <p>A 20mph speed limit (or even 10mph?) could be applied to the street, or indeed to much of the town centre, from the fountain in the High Street down to the bridge, and also along Castle Road? This could be applied to</p>		
--	--	--	--

		<p>Beaufort Street, where pedestrians abound, and extended to the whole of New Road where traffic tends to race to "beat the lights" at the bridge.</p> <p>During school term time, New Road is jammed from top to bottom at peak hours. Anything that can be done to alleviate this problem would improve Crickhowell. A roundabout at the top of New Road would be good, if space could be taken from the (now disused) telephone exchange.</p> <p>Flower tubs could be placed at strategic points in Bridge Street, slightly narrowing the road so that again, drivers have to slow down significantly at these points.</p> <p>It would be great if funding could be found to help restore cobbled and flag-stoned pavements.</p> <p>If you would like further explanation of clarification of any of the above, please do not hesitate to contact us.</p>		
Canal and River Trust	Neutral	<p>The Canal and River Trust have no comment to make on the proposal to split the Crickhowell and Llangattock Conservation Area, or on the proposed amendments to their boundaries. However, we ask whether the Planning Authority would consider the creation of a Canal Conservation Area to tie in with other sections areas already designated as such elsewhere on the canal? The Trust would welcome further discussions on this matter.</p>	<p>No comment on the proposal to split the Crickhowell and Llangattock Conservation Area is noted.</p> <p>It is in the current work programme to assess the section of canal that passes through the National Park with view to designating it as a conservation area.</p>	No change required
J Steadman	Support	<p>Thank you for providing an opportunity to view your proposed changes to the Conservation Area.</p>	<p>Support noted.</p>	No change.

		<p>I am supportive of the Area being extended to include my own property and the western side of Llanbedr Road. I am also supportive of the other proposals contained in the document. However, I would draw your attention the consideration of the inclusion of the Upper House Farm housing development. In your own words:</p> <p>"One modern development of the note is the 1990s Televillage at Upper House Farm. This was a pioneering project for its time which catered for people to work from home. As technology advanced this type of housing project is no longer unique but at the time it was ground breaking."</p> <p>The protection of this charming modern development, which adjoins the Conservation Area and contributes positively to the townscape of the town, would be welcome. It is an exemplar of what can be achieved in terms of layout, building materials and highway design. As such, it should be preserved and enhanced.</p>	<p>It is agreed that the Televillage at Upper House Farm is an interesting and attractive development which was ground breaking for the time it was built. Conservation Areas are reviewed on a 5 year cycle and it is recommended that the Televillage is looked at for possible inclusion within the conservation area as part of the next review.</p>	
Mr & Mrs C Lewis	Object	We strongly disagree with the proposed change.	<p>The review of the conservation area in Crickhowell has proposed the inclusion of the Bullpit Meadows within the conservation area boundary because it is an important open space in the foreground of the town and also in relation to the bridge. The inclusion of the properties on Llanbedr Road, and Rectory Road is primarily because of their architectural interest and connection with the 19th century suburban growth of Crickhowell. It is therefore considered that the change proposed to incorporate both of these areas within the conservation area is justified.</p>	No change
L & R Webb	Object	We object to the revised boundaries of the conservation area.		No change

			<p>Further review of Orchard Lane has been carried out as a result of responses to the public consultation and it is concluded that the existing boundary should remain. Important views of St Edmunds Church are obtained from this part of Orchard Lane and the area is relevant to the church's setting.</p> <p>Other than the high, former garden wall once associated with the demolished Glanyrafon, the modern estate off Castle Road does not contribute to the quality of the conservation area. The proposal to remove the housing estate from the conservation area is therefore considered to be an appropriate course of action.</p> <p>In Llangattock, the group modern bungalows on Park Drive do not reflect the materials or style of the traditional buildings in Llangattock and therefore do not contribute to the character of the conservation area. The proposal to delete this area from conservation area is therefore considered to be justified. The line of the boundary around the Old Rectory was previously quite arbitrary and therefore a small extension has been proposed. The boundary has been amended to follow a more coherent line and also acknowledge the significance of the grounds to the setting of the Old Rectory and the conservation area.</p> <p>Dividing Crickhowell and Llangattock so that each has its own conservation area is considered to be an appropriate course of action. Each settlement has its own distinctive characteristics which deserve</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

			the space to be considered in more detail. The character appraisals for each conservation area can then provide a detailed assessment tailored to each settlement's characteristics.	
C & K Summers		<p>We were very interested to visit the exhibition concerning the review of the Crickhowell Conservation Area at the Clarence Hall. We agree wholeheartedly with the extensions to the current conservation area. However, we can see no benefit from removing this area from the conservation area and indeed, the review document doesn't put forward any reason for its deletion. We are concerned that the removal of part of Orchard Lane will remove restrictions on development in this and neighbouring areas. Although there may not be much of historical interest merit in the lane, we feel that it still deserves protection as part of a famous old town within a national park. We have recently seen the passing of plans to introduce flood lighting, together with screening, both in solid wooden fencing and 'artificial foliage' at the end of Orchard Lane and are very concerned that removal of the lane from the conservation area could well facilitate further inappropriate development to disfigure the lane which is frequently used by Crickhowell residents and visitors to the National Park alike as a means to reach the banks of the Usk. Rather than deleting parts of Mill Street and Orchard Lane, it would be better to extend the Conservation Area to include the whole of these roads.</p>	<p>The support for the extensions to the Crickhowell Conservation Area are noted. Further review of Orchard Lane has been carried out as a result of this objection and it considered that the existing boundary should remain. Important views of St Edmunds Church are obtained from this part of Orchard Lane and the area is relevant to the church's setting.</p>	<p>Retain the existing conservation area boundary in relation to Orchard Lane.</p>

		As no benefit would come from the deletion of this area from the Conservation Area, we would ask that it remains within its boundary.		
Mr P Williams		I consider that BBNPA have sufficient existing powers as National Park Authority to protect both the natural and built environment. Hence there is no requirement to extend the existing boundaries of the Crickhowell Conservation Area.	Conservation area designation is not just about imposing additional planning controls. Conservation area designation is a recognition of the quality of an area and they are an amalgamation of elements that include the architectural quality of buildings; materials; boundaries; spaces; trees; routes; views and uses. The review of the conservation area has proposed the inclusion of the Bullpit Meadows within the conservation area boundary because it is an important open space in the foreground of the town and also in relation to the bridge. The inclusion of the properties on Llanbedr Road, and Rectory Road is primarily because of their architectural interest and connection with the 19 th century suburban growth of Crickhowell. It is therefore considered that the change proposed to incorporate both of these areas within the conservation area is justified.	No change
A Smith	Neutral	Housing extended the area around Llanbedr Road and Rectory Road why has the Recreation Ground not been included?	The recreation ground beyond Rectory Road has been visited to assess its suitability for inclusion within the conservation area. While it is valuable recreation space, it does not have a visually strong connection to the built heritage compared to the Castle grounds and Bullpit Meadow. In terms of the protection of his open space the adopted Brecon Beacons National Park Authority Local Development Plan identifies it as 'Existing Community Use'. As such it covered by Policy 50 Retention of Existing Community Facilities.	No change
B David	Neutral	Concerns	The Castle grounds and the recreation areas between Beaufort Street and Castle Lane are	

		<p>- Recreated areas are not included in conservation areas as if material objects are more important to an areas beauty than the space that it exists in/around.</p> <p>- That developers are not being made to include green spaces, or footpaths to link up/connect the community.</p> <p>Two examples</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The new development near the sewage works has no play area for any children from that area. 2. The new area of homes between Llanbedr Road and Great Oak Road has no interlinking footpaths to Llanbedr Road and the school, recreation ground. <p>I propose an inclusion of the recreational areas in conservation area and immediate action to be taken to encourage footpaths to link all areas of community.</p> <p>Happy to help with any surveys.</p>	<p>included within the conservation area. This review of the conservation area proposes to include Bullpit Meadows. The recreation ground beyond Rectory Road has been visited to assess its suitability for inclusion within the conservation area. While it is valuable recreation space, it does not have a visually strong connection to the built heritage compared to the Castle grounds and Bullpit Meadow.</p> <p>Existing links within the conservation area are referred to in the chapter on Routes and Movement. The chapter on Management Plan Recommendations will be amended to refer to opportunities to improve existing links within the conservation area.</p>	<p>Amend chapter Management Plan Recommendations</p>
B Harrison		Very positive ideas, realistic approach.	Support noted.	No change required
C Jenkins		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Car parking on the edge of the Conservation area is a real problem, when the Church Services are on and the Rectory has an even (That is nearly every weekend). Builders also park their vans in the Church car park, making very difficult for local people to park. 2. Flooding of the R Unnan can also be a problem if the Unnan is blocked through the village. 3. Pot Holes - Swan Lane needs to be maintained. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Issue of car parking is noted in Chapter 12 of the Llangattock Character Appraisal. The Management Plan Recommendations section will be amended to include a section on traffic and parking and will refer to support given to an audit to gain a fuller understanding of the existing situation. 2. Flooding is an issue for Natural Resources Wales. 3. Powys is the Highways Authority covering Llangattock and they are responsible for highway maintenance. 	Amend Management Plan Recommendations
D Jeremiah		When BBNPA began, there was no need for a conservation area, There is still no need.	The first conservation areas were designated in 1967 under the Civic Amenities Act. The Crickhowell and Llangattock Conservation Area	No change

			<p>was an early designation resulting from the Act and it has been a conservation area for over 45 years. Under the 1990 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, Local Authorities have a duty to review the conservation area and its boundaries and formulate and publish proposals for the preservation and enhancement of the area. This is why this current review has been undertaken. The purpose of the review is to assess whether the designated area continues to demonstrate clear architectural and / or historic interest, and also to establish whether the boundary of the conservation area needs amending. It is also an opportunity to identify potential measures for protecting the area and opportunities for enhancement. The draft appraisal for Crickhowell and Llangattock has demonstrated that both settlements still have a high quality historic environment. There are a few additional planning restrictions in addition to those imposed by National Park Status; however the purpose of a conservation area is much broader and not only planning restrictions. Conservation Area designation is part of the management tool kit to help identify and retain what is important, and where appropriate, develop proposals to enhance.</p>	
H Burns	Neutral	<p>Diddorol iawn, diolch. Dyn ni'n byw ym Llangatwg ers 6 blywedd a mae'r lle yn hyfryd - mae'n bwysig iawn n gadw fe Very interesting, thank you. We have lived in Llangattock for years and the place is lovely - it's important to keep it that way.</p>	Comments noted.	No change required.
O Williams	Both object and support	<p>I object to Rectory Road and Llanbedr Road being included in the conservation area. Why now? Nothing has changed planning permission should suffice.</p>	<p>The inclusion of the properties on Llanbedr Road, and Rectory Road is primarily because of their architectural interest and connection with the 19th century suburban growth of Crickhowell. On</p>	No change

		<p>BBNPA does not have a great track record in taking notice of the public opinion (the Green is a prime example). Why do we need another level of bureaucracy? I am in favour of deletion re the two areas marked in Crickhowell</p>	<p>Llanbedr Road Numbers 1 – 6 are listed and the unlisted houses retain much of their 19th century character. On Rectory Road St. David's House is listed and most of the unlisted houses on the east side are present by the time of the 1st edition Ordnance Survey map and have architectural value. In addition their stone boundary walls, deep front gardens and mature trees and shrubs also contribute to the quality of Rectory Road. The two houses at the northern end of Rectory Road are much later; however, they are sited in a similar way and the gardens, trees and boundaries form an attractive foreground. It is therefore considered that the change proposed to incorporate both of these areas within the conservation area is justified.</p> <p>The comment on the deletion of two areas from the conservation boundary in Crickhowell is noted. Further review of Orchard Lane has been carried out as a result of this consultation and it considered that the existing boundary should remain. Important views of St Edmunds Church are obtained from this part of Orchard Lane and the area is relevant to the church's setting.</p>	
P Webb		<p>This does seem to me a bit of a paper exercise. I note buildings are sited as of historical interest but are falling apart. A plan should encourage/enforce these to be maintained to keep the town character, stone walls noted as being lost, has any owner been approached into renovating these. The one in Standard Street above the roundabout is disappearing fast. Church wall ivy is deteriorating the listed church wall in Church Street.</p>	<p>Planning control over the demolition of boundary walls in conservation areas is limited to those fronting a highway and under 1 metre in height. We hope by highlighting the value of stone boundary walls to the quality of the conservation area and the negative impact of removing them, this may encourage property owners to retain and care for them.</p> <p>Where there is serious deterioration of buildings within the conservation area, the BBNPA has some</p>	<p>Conservation area boundary to remain as existing in relation to Orchard Lane.</p>

		<p>(Other issue pressure needs putting on C Town Council to do some things stated in the LDP)</p> <p>Parking for walkers, visitors and shoppers is a problem at peak and holiday times and is affecting the vibrancy of Crickhowell. There have been many meetings on this and a survey is needed of the whole town on the whole issue. Some muted solutions were parking provided near table mountain for walkers to prevent the verge breakdown by substantial alleviate parking blocked in farm. (C Town Council are in denial of helping this issue even though its in the LDP)</p> <p>There are other ideas for solutions but help is needed to get this moved on.</p> <p>Question why take some houses in Mill Street off the Conservation Plan.</p> <p>Street lighting mentioned as much of it is broken or missing is there anything that can be aided in getting these fixed - pressure on PCC etc.</p> <p>Not sure why split the 2 areas Llangattock allocated as the 2 committees should work together to jointly resolve our community problems.</p>	<p>powers available to take action. Primarily this is by serving a Section 215 Notice or Urgent Works Notice. However, an Urgent Works Notice is limited to works urgently necessary for the preservation of a listed building or building within a conservation area and may only be temporary measures. It is good practice to encourage the building owner to undertake the repair work voluntarily rather than start by serving a notice. The BBNPA has been working on a number of cases in Crickhowell; however, it can take some time to achieve a positive outcome. Members of the community and local organisations are encouraged to highlight cases of particular concern.</p> <p>Further review of Orchard Lane has been carried out as a result of this consultation and it considered that the existing boundary should remain. Important views of St Edmunds Church are obtained from this part of Orchard Lane and the area is relevant to the church's setting.</p> <p>Street lighting is referred to in the chapter on Management Plan Recommendations. The final version of the conservation area appraisal will be sent to Powys County Council and the BBNPA will investigate opportunities to engage with Powys County Council and the Town Council to repair the attractive lighting in the High Street and generally improve the street lighting throughout the conservation area.</p> <p>Splitting Crickhowell and Llangattock into 2 conservation areas does not stop the 2 communities working together. . Each settlement</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

			has its own distinctive characteristics which deserve the space to be considered in more detail. The character appraisals for each conservation area can then provide a detailed assessment tailored to each settlement's characteristics.	
Mrs P Blood	Support	Was this event advertised in Llangattock? I only heard of it by accident last week. There were not many people looking at the review a 1:30 to 2:15pm. I agree with the proposed extension and the proposed deletion in Llangattock.	Support for the boundary changes in Llangattock are noted. The Llangattock Community Council were provided with posters advertising the consultation to display in the village. Posters were also displayed around Crickhowell. Letters advising of the consultation event were sent directly to occupiers of properties affected by the changes to the conservation area boundary. Information was also posted on the BBNPA website and social media.	No change required
Mr P Blood	Support	Support the proposed changes to the conservation area in Llangattock. PB Have a Passive House certified retrofit should encourage PH new builds.	Support for changes to the conservation area in Llangattock is noted. New development in conservation areas is expected to integrate sympathetically into the historic context; however, this does not rule out Passive House new build.	No change required
P Thomas	Support	No specific comments, but appreciate that Llangattock is to be a conservation area in its own right, and the proposals seem very sensible.	Support for a separate conservation area for Llangattock is noted.	No change required
R Jeremiah	Objection	See no need for more bureaucracy	The first conservation areas were designated in 1967 under the Civic Amenities Act. The Crickhowell and Llangattock Conservation Area was one of the early conservation areas resulting from the Act and it has been a conservation area for over 45 years. Under the 1990 Planning (Listed	No change

			<p>Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, Local Authorities have a duty to review the conservation area and its boundaries and formulate and publish proposals for the preservation and enhancement of the area. This is why this current review has been undertaken. The purpose of the review is to assess whether the designated area continues to demonstrate clear architectural and / or historic interest, and also to establish whether the conservation area boundary needs amending. It is also an opportunity to identify potential measures for protecting the area and opportunities for enhancement. The draft appraisal for Crickhowell and Llangattock has demonstrated that both settlements still have a high quality historic environment. There are a few additional planning restrictions in addition to those imposed by National Park Status. The additional planning restrictions primarily relate to demolition or part demolition of an unlisted building or structure, and felling or works to trees.</p>	
S Smith	Object	<p>My feeling is this is too late as all the houses on Llanbedr Road, both front and back are no different to each other in shapes and sizes and colours that I welcome a plan that will let us keep our uniqueness!</p>	<p>Most of the houses on the west side of Llanbedr Road are shown on the 1844 Tith map for Crickhowell indicating that they at least date from the early 19th century. They represent the early suburban expansion of Crickhowell, away from the denser core of the town. Numbers 1 – 6 are listed and the unlisted houses retain much of their 19th century character. There are variations between properties and some have less original detail than others but overall it is a cohesive row which demonstrates a good level of architectural quality making the row worthy of inclusion within the conservation area.</p>	No change

T Jones & G Jones	Support	<p>T Jones: Sensible proposals. It is good to see the impact of traffic on the historic character of Llangattock is being recognised as it is a point of concern.</p> <p>G Jones: We would also like to see the proposals to take in the surrounding areas in close proximity to the canal and historic Llangattock Lime Kilns. Canal House is Grade II listed and Wharf Cottage dates from 1821 and retains some original features. Two sets of limekilns at Brdige 114 and 115 which belong to the Canals and Rivers Trust but surrounding fields belong to local landowners. We would like to protect the industrial heritage of the limekilns as well.</p>	<p>Support for conservation area appraisal is noted.</p> <p>It is agreed that the canal and the cluster of buildings and structures associated with it are of historic value. The process of reviewing the conservation area considered whether the boundary could be extended to include section of canal and buildings. However the assessment concluded that this would mean including a block of modern development. As such it would not be possible to create a coherent extension to the conservation area. However, it is in the current work programme to assess the section of the Monmouthshire and Brecon canal that passes through the National Park with view to designating it as a conservation area. This is considered a better solution to recognising the quality of the buildings and structures clustered along the canal.</p>	No change
----------------------	---------	--	--	-----------